Chebyshev Approximation by Exponentials on Finite Subsets

By Dietrich Braess

Abstract. This paper is concerned with Chebyshev approximation by exponentials on finite subsets. We take into account that varisolvency does not hold for exponentials in general. A bound for the derivatives of exponentials is established and convergence of the solutions for the discrete problems is proved in the topology of compact convergence on the open interval.

1. Introduction. In a recent note, Rosman [9] studied the convergence of best exponential Chebyshev approximation on finite subsets. Unfortunately, his investigations heavily depend on results of Rice from 1962 [6], [8], and he assumed that the family of exponentials

(1)
$$V_n = \left\{ E(x) = \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{j=0}^{m_i} p_{ij} x^j e^{t_i x}; p_{ij}, t_i \in \mathbb{R}, \sum_{i=1}^l (1 + m_i) \leq n \right\}$$

has the varisolvency property. But, as was shown by the author in 1967 [1], [3], varisolvency holds only for the special exponentials of the form

(2)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i e^{i i x}.$$

Moreover, there are two different definitions of varisolvency in the literature. The exponentials of the form (2) are varisolvent in the sense of Rice's papers [6], [7], [8], but not in the sense of Hobby and Rice [5]. For the study of Rosman's proof, this difference cannot be neglected.

In this note, we will present a different proof, using ideas in Werner's [11] and Schmidt's [10] proof for an existence theorem. At first, we establish an estimation of the derivatives of exponentials similar to Bernstein's inequality for polynomials. Computational methods are not considered here; for this, we refer to [2], [8], [12].

2. Estimation of Derivatives. The main result of this section is an estimation of the derivatives of exponentials mentioned in [4]. But the major part will be concerned with the lemmas preparing the convergence theorem in the next section.

LEMMA 1. Let $x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n$. If $f \in C^n[x_0, x_n]$, and if

(3)
$$|f(x_i)| \leq M, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n,$$

Received October 1, 1971.

Copyright © 1973, American Mathematical Society

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 41A30, 41A50; Secondary 65D10.

Key words and phrases. Chebyshev approximation, exponentials, violation of varisolvency, estimation of derivatives, convergence of discrete approximation.

holds, then there exists a point $z \in [x_0, x_n]$, such that

(4)
$$|f^{(n)}(z)| \leq M \cdot n! \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{n} \prod_{j=0; \ j \neq i}^{n} 1/|x_i - x_j|.$$

Proof. Consider the polynomial p(x) of degree n which interpolates f(x) at x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n . Since f - p has n + 1 zeros, there is at least one zero of $(f - p)^{(n)}$. Observe that the right-hand side of (4) represents an upper bound of the *n*th derivative of the Lagrangian interpolating polynomial. \Box

By a special choice of points, we get an estimation for functions defined on an interval. For each compact set Y, we define the Chebyshev norm on C(Y) as

$$||f||_{Y} = \sup_{x \in Y} |f(x)|.$$

COROLLARY 2. Let $X = [\alpha, \beta]$ and $d = \beta - \alpha$. For each $f \in C^{n}(X)$, there exists a point $z \in X$, satisfying

(5)
$$|f^{(n)}(z)| \leq 2^{2n-1} n! \cdot d^{-n} \cdot ||f||_{X}.$$

Proof. Let $x_i = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta) - (d/2)\cos(\pi i/n)$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$. By applying Lemma 1 to the transformed Chebyshev polynomial $f(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta) + dx/2) = T_n(x)$, we obtain the equal sign in (4). From $T_n^{(n)}(x) = 2^{n-1}n!$, we determine the factor of M in (4). This yields the theorem. \Box

Now, we have established a priori estimates which are necessary for the application of the main lemma that generalizes a theorem of Schmidt [10]. Notice that derivatives of exponentials are exponentials, too. Thus, they have at most n - 1 zeros or vanish identically.

To each (finite) sequence of distances d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n and to the corresponding a priori constants M_1, M_2, \dots, M_n , there are associated n + 1 numbers by a recursive process

(6)

$$K_{n+1} = 0,$$

$$K_{\nu} = M_{\nu} + d_{\nu}K_{\nu+1}, \quad \nu = n, n-1, \cdots, 1.$$

LEMMA 3. Let d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n be positive numbers, satisfying

$$(7) d_1 + d_2 + \cdots + d_n < d.$$

Let $X \supset [x_0 - d, x_0 + d]$ and $f \in C^{n+1}(X)$. Suppose that $f^{(n+1)}$ has at most n - 1 zeros or vanishes identically in X, and, moreover, that in each subinterval of length d_{ν} ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots, n$), there is a point z such that

$$(8) |f^{(\nu)}(z)| \leq M_{\nu}.$$

$$(9) |f'(x_0)| \leq K_1$$

holds with K_1 defined by the recursion relation (6).

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that (9) is violated and, say, $f'(x_0) > K_1$ holds. By an inductive proof we will show that, for $\nu = 1, 2, \dots, n$, there are points ξ_{ν}, η_{ν} such that CHEBYSHEV APPROXIMATION BY EXPONENTIALS

(10)
$$x_0 - \sum_{\mu=1}^{\nu} d_{\mu} \leq \xi_{\nu} \leq \eta_{\nu} \leq x_0 + \sum_{\mu=1}^{\nu} d_{\mu},$$

(11)
$$f^{(\nu+1)}(\xi_{\nu}) > K_{\nu+1}, \quad (-1)^{\nu} f^{(\nu+1)}(\eta_{\nu}) > K_{\nu+1},$$

and $f^{(\nu+1)}$ has ν distinct zeros in $[\xi_{\nu}, \eta_{\nu}]$.

Let $\nu = 1$. By assumption, $|f'(z_1)| \leq M_1$ holds for a point $z_1 \in [x_0 - d_1, x_0]$. From Rolle's theorem, we obtain a point $\xi_1 \in [z_1, x_0]$, satisfying

$$f''(\xi_1) = \frac{f'(x_0) - f'(z_1)}{x_0 - z_1} > \frac{K_1 - M_1}{d_1} = K_2$$

A corresponding construction yields $\eta_1 \in [x_0, x_0 + d_1]$ with the postulated properties. By virtue of (11), there is a zero of f''(x) in (ξ_1, η_1) .

Assume that the statement holds for $\nu - 1 \leq n - 1$. Denote by $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{\nu-1}$ the zeros of $f^{(\nu)}$. By assumption, we have $|f^{(\nu)}(z)| \leq M_{\nu}$ for a point $z \in [\xi_{\nu-1} - d_{\nu}, \xi_{\nu-1}]$. Let $f^{(\nu)}$ attain its maximum in $[z, x_1]$ at z_1 . From $f^{(\nu)}(z_1) \geq f^{(\nu)}(\xi_{\nu-1}) > K_{\nu} \geq M_{\nu} \geq f^{(\nu)}(z)$ and $f^{(\nu)}(x_1) = 0$, we conclude that $z_1 \in (z, x_1)$ and $f^{(\nu+1)}(z_1) = 0$. Set $z_2 = \min(z_1, \xi_{\nu-1})$. By virtue of Rolle's theorem, there exists ξ_{ν} , satisfying

$$f^{(\nu+1)}(\xi_{\nu}) = \frac{f^{(\nu)}(z_2) - f^{(\nu)}(z)}{z_2 - z} > \frac{K_{\nu} - M_{\nu}}{d_{\nu}} = K_{\nu+1}$$

Construct $\eta_{\nu} \in [\eta_{\nu-1}, \eta_{\nu-1} + d_{\nu}]$ by an analogous procedure. Hence, $f^{(\nu+1)}$ has at least $\nu - 2$ zeros between x_1 and $x_{\nu-1}$. Moreover, two zeros are determined in (ξ_{ν}, x_1) and $(x_{\nu-1}, \eta_{\nu})$, respectively, and the induction is complete. As a consequence, for $\nu = n$, there is a contradiction to the assumption on the zeros of $f^{(n+1)}$. \Box

Now we are ready to prove the desired estimation.

(13)

THEOREM 4. Let $X = [\alpha, \beta]$ and $2d \leq \beta - \alpha$. There exists a constant $c = c_n$, such that, for each exponential E of degree $\leq n$,

(12)
$$|E'(x)| \leq (c_n/d) \cdot ||E||_x \text{ for } x \in [\alpha + d, \beta - d].$$

Proof. It is sufficient to prove the theorem for $E(x) \neq 0$. Given $x_0 \in [\alpha + d, \beta - d]$, set

$$f(x) = (1/||E||_x) \cdot E(x_0 + dx), \qquad -1 \leq x \leq +1.$$

Obviously, f(x) is an exponential and $||f||_{[-1,+1]} \leq 1$ holds. Let d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n be positive numbers, the sum of which is 1. Set

 $K_{n+1} = 0,$ $K_{\nu} = 2^{2\nu-1} \cdot \nu! \ d_{\nu}^{-\nu} + d_{\nu} K_{\nu+1}, \qquad \nu = n, n-1, \cdots, 1,$ $c_n = K_1.$

By virtue of Corollary 2 and Lemma 3 we obtain $|f'(0)| \leq c_n$. From this, the inequality (12) is evident. \Box

3. Approximation on Finite Subsets. Let X be a compact interval on the real line and let X_r be a set of r distinct points in X. Then the density of X_r in X is measured by

329

DIETRICH BRAESS

$$\Delta_r = \max_{x \in X} \min_{y \in X_r} |x - y|.$$

We consider a sequence of subsets $\{X_r\}$, satisfying $\Delta_r \to 0$ as r tends to infinity. Since X is compact, this is equivalent to the assumption in [9] that, given $x \in X$. there is an $x_r \in X_r$ such that $x_r \to x$.

As usual, E_r is called a best approximation to f on X_r , if the functional $||f - E||_{X_r}$ attains its minimum on V_n at E_r . It is known that best approximations need not exist on finite point sets [8] and unicity of the solution cannot be ensured [1]. From the computational point of view, it is reasonable to assume existence anyway. However, for a rigorous proof of the convergence theorem, we avoid this difficulty by the definition of nearly best approximations. Let E^* be a best approximation to f(x) on X. E_r is called a nearly best approximation to f(x) on X_r , if

$$||f - E_r||_{X_r} \leq ||f - E^*||_X.$$

Obviously, each best approximation on X_r is a nearly best approximation.

THEOREM 5. Let $X = [\alpha, \beta]$, and let X, be a sequence of finite subsets, such that $\Delta_r \rightarrow 0$. Then, each sequence of nearly best approximations $\{E_r\}$ contains a subsequence that converges to a best approximation E^* on X uniformly on each compact subinterval of (α, β) . If E* has the maximal degree n, then convergence is uniform on the total interval X.

Proof. Let $Y = [\alpha_1, \beta_1]$ be a compact subinterval of (α, β) . Set $Y_1 = [\frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \alpha_1), \beta_1]$ $\frac{1}{2}(\beta + \beta_1)$]. From Corollary 2, we know that, in any interval I of length d, we can find n + 1 points x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n such that the sum in inequality (4) has the value $2^{2n-1}d^{-n}$. Since Δ_r tends to zero, for sufficiently large r, we may choose n+1 points in $I \cap X_r$ such that the sum can be bounded by $2^{2n} d^{-n}$. By virtue of Lemma 3 and by $||E_r||_{x_r} \leq ||f - E_r||_{x_r} + ||f||_{x_r} \leq 2 ||f||_x$, there is a constant c such that, for sufficiently large r,

$$|E'_r(x)| \leq c \cdot ||E_r||_{X_r} \leq 2c ||f||_X \quad \text{for } x \in Y_1.$$

Since for each $x \in X$ there is a point in X_r with a distance not greater than Δ_r , we obtain

$$||E_r||_{Y_1} \leq (2 + 2c \cdot \Delta_r) \cdot ||f||_X.$$

Hence, $\{E_r\}$ is bounded on Y_1 . By Corollary 1 in [10], there exists a subsequence converging uniformly on $Y \subset Y_1$ to an exponential E^* . By standard arguments, we conclude that this subsequence converges uniformly to E^* on each compact subset of (α, β) . Obviously, E^* is a best approximation to f on X. Moreover, from Theorem 4 in [10], we obtain uniform convergence on X, if E^* has maximal degree. \Box

Institut für Numerische und Instrumentelle Mathematik Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität 44 Münster, Germany

330

D. BRAESS, "Approximation mit Exponentialsummen," Computing (Arch. Elektron. Rechnen), v. 2, 1967, pp. 309-321. MR 38 #1443.
 D. BRAESS, "Die Konstruktion der Tschebyscheff-Approximierenden bei der An-passung mit Exponentialsummen," J. Approximation Theory, v. 3, 1970, pp. 261-273. MR

⁴³ #3697.

^{3.} D. BRAESS, "Chebyshev approximation by γ -polynomials," J. Approximation Theory. (To appear.)

4. D. BRAESS, "On a paper of C. B. Dunham concerning degeneracy in mean non-linear approximation," J. Approximation Theory. (To appear.)
5. C. R. HOBBY & J. R. RICE, "Approximation from a curve of functions," Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., v. 24, 1967, pp. 91-106. MR 35 #637.
6. J. R. RICE, "Chebyshev approximation by ab^x + c," J. Soc. Indust. Appl. Math., v. 8, 1960, pp. 691-702. MR 25 #4288.
7. L. P. RUCE, "Chebysheft approximations by functions unicolucat of workshells, down," and the second second

v. 8, 1960, pp. 691-702. MR 25 #4288.
7. J. R. RICE, "Tchebycheff approximations by functions unisolvent of variable degree," *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, v. 99, 1961, pp. 298-302. MR 25 #374.
8. J. R. RICE, *The Approximation of Functions*. Vol 2: Nonlinear and Multivariate *Theory*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1969. MR 39 #5989.
9. B. H. ROSMAN, "Exponential Chebyshev approximation on finite subsets of [0, 1]," *Math. Comp.*, v. 25, 1971, pp. 575-577.
10. E. SCHMIDT, "Zur Kompaktheit bei Exponentialsummen," J. Approximation Theory, v. 3, 1970, pp. 445-454. MR 42 #6471.
11. H. WERNER. Der Existenzalz für das Tschebuscheffsche Approximation constitution

11. H. WERNER, Der Existenzsatz für das Tschebyscheffsche Approximationsproblem mit Exponentialsummen, Funktionalanalytische Methoden der numerischen Mathematik (Vortragsauszüge der Tagung, Oberwolfach, 1967), Birkhäuser, Basel, 1969, pp. 133–143. MR 40 #7689.

12. H. WERNER, "Tschebyscheff-approximation with sums of exponentials," in Approximation Theory, edited by A. Talbot, Academic Press, London and New York, 1970.